UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,224	09/12/2003	Partha Bhattacharya	50325-1085	6837
29989 7590 06/30/2008 HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 2055 GATEWAY PLACE			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MYLINH T	
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA	95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,224	BHATTACHARYA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MYLINH TRAN	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit in t	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal ma	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 16-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	thdrawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the all of the oath or declaration is objected to by the last section and the oath or declaration is objected to by the last section is objected to be section.	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/17/08.	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendments filed 03/31/08 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 8-15 has been canceled. However, the arguments regarding rejection under 35.U.S.C 102 to claims (1-7 and 16-31) have not been found to be persuasive. Therefore, these claims are rejected under the same ground of rejection as set forth in the Office Action mailed 08/09/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 and 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ptacek et al. [US. 2005/0005017]. The provisional application 60/484,873 has been considered and the following rejection is fully supported by the provisional application.

As to claims 1, 18 and 25, Ptacek et al. teach a method of analyzing security events, comprising: receiving and processing a stream of security events (page 1, 0011), including grouping the security events into network sessions (figure 1), each session having an identified

Application/Control Number: 10/661,224

Art Unit: 2179

source and destination (figure 3, 318, 322); displaying a graph representing devices (figure 1) in a network, the devices including security devices (firewall) and non-security devices (disk array), the displayed graph including a plurality of individual device symbols and a plurality of group device symbols (figure 1, 114-1, 114-2, 114-3...), each individual device symbol representing a security device of the network and each group device symbol representing a group of non-security devices of the network; and displaying in conjunction with the graph security incident information, including with respect to a group device symbol an incident volume indicator (figure 1, 114-1, 114-2, 114-3...) that indicates a number of network sessions whose source or destination is at any member of a group of non-security devices corresponding to the group device symbol (page 3, 0032-0038).

As to claims 2, 19 and 26, Ptacek et al. teach upon user selection of a group device symbol for a group of non-security devices, displaying a second level graph representing the non-security devices in the group and the security devices in association with the group (the second level graph is disclosed at figure 2), the displayed second level graph including a plurality of non-security device symbols (figure 2, database of signatures) and a plurality of security device symbols (figure 2, firewall 1-3), each non-security device symbol representing one non-security device in the group and each security device symbol representing one security device in the group; and displaying in conjunction with the

Application/Control Number: 10/661,224

Art Unit: 2179

second level graph security incident information, including with respect to a non-security device symbol an incident volume indicator (figure 2, firewall 1, firewall 2, firewall 3) that indicates a number of network sessions whose source or destination is at the non-security device (figure 3, 318, 322).

As to claims 3, 20 and 27, Ptacek et al. teach upon user command with respect to a user specified device symbol in the displayed graph, displaying data representing network sessions whose source or destination is at a device corresponding to the user specified device symbol (page 4, 0060, 0061).

As to claims 4, 21 and 28, Ptacek et al. teach in response to one or more user commands, selecting a network session from the displayed data, and defining a drop rule that comprises a set of network conditions corresponding to the selected network session; wherein the processing of security events includes filtering out network sessions that satisfy the defined drop rule (0046-0048).

As to claims 5, 22 and 29, Ptacek et al. teach source and destination identifying information, event type information indicating one or more types of incidents corresponding to the network sessions, and security device information indicating one or more security devices that report security events in association with the network sessions (0010-0011).

As to claims 6, 23 and 30, Ptacek et al. teach the processing of security events including identifying groups of network sessions that together

Application/Control Number: 10/661,224

Art Unit: 2179

satisfy a security incident identification rule in a group of predefined security incident identification rules, and identifying as rule firing network sessions each of the network sessions that is a member of any identified group of network sessions; wherein each incident volume indicator indicates a number of rule firing network sessions whose source or destination is at a device corresponding to the device symbol (0046-0068 and 0099).

As to claims 7, 24 and 31, Ptacek et al. teach the processing of security events including excluding from the rule firing network sessions any network session that satisfies any drop rule in a set of drop rules, each drop rule defining a respective set of conditions (0098-0099).

As to claims 16 and 17, Ptacek et al. teach a method of analyzing security events, comprising: receiving and processing security events (page 1, 0011), including grouping the security events into network sessions (figure 1), each session having an identified source and destination (figure 3, 318, 322);

applying a plurality of predefined security event correlation rules to the plurality of network sessions in association with the processed security events (0046-0048); for each of a subset of the predefined security event correlation rules, identifying network sessions from the plurality of network sessions in association with the processed security events, if any, that satisfy the rule (0008-0010);

Art Unit: 2179

displaying a graph representing devices (figure 1) in a network, the devices including security devices (firewall) and non-security devices (disk array), the displayed graph including a plurality of individual device symbols and a plurality of group device symbols (figure 1, 114-1, 114-2, 114-3...), each individual device symbol representing a security device of the network and each group device symbol representing a group of non-security devices of the network; and displaying in conjunction with the graph security incident information, including with respect to a group device symbol an incident volume indicator (figure 1, 114-1, 114-2, 114-3...) that indicates a number of network sessions whose source or destination is at any member of a group of non-security devices corresponding to the group device symbol (page 3, 0032-0038).

Response to Arguments

Applicant has argued that Ptacek does not teach or suggest displaying a plurality of group device symbols, each group device symbol representing a group of non-security devices of a network. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees because Ptacek shows plurality of group device symbols (figure 1, SUBNET 1, SUBNET 2, SUBNET 3 and SUBNET 4); each group device symbol represent a group of non-security devices of a network (figure 1, SUBNET 3 comprising a group of non security devices such as Host 15, Disk Array). Applicant's attention is also directed to page 3, 0031, cited the communications network 1 comprises a series of sub-networks (subnet1-subnet4). These subnets

Art Unit: 2179

typically include groups of network devices...the subnets include different types of networks devices...

Applicant has also argued that Ptacek does not disclose or teach displaying security incident information in conjunction with displaying a graph of representing devices in network. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees because Ptacek teaches the security incident information by detecting changes in network usage signatures that suggest attack such as self-propagating code at page 3, 0034. Applicant argued that Ptacek fails to teach incident volume information that indicates a number of network sessions whose source or destination is at any member of a group of non-security devices. However, the network session SUBNET 3 comprises many members of the group of non-security devices such as the source Host 15 and Disk Array. Further, Ptacek teaches displaying a network security by disclosed at page 3, 0034 plurality of steps of 1) measuring and modeling the services or network communication in legitimate use on the network 1, especially during normal operation of the network, or it lifetime; 2) detecting changes in network usage signatures that suggest attack such as self-propagating network behavior 3) providing access control between different compartments or subnets of the network....

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Application/Control Number: 10/661,224 Page 9

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

/Weilun Lo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179